

User Guide to the Gateway's Sex/Gender Discrimination & Harassment Resolution Processes

Introduction

If you've tried to read the <u>Gateway's new procedures for addressing sexual offenses</u> we can't blame you if it's been a challenge to understand some of their complexity. This brief guide is intended to help explain the changes and make the new resolution process more transparent to you.

A Brief History

In 2011, the Obama-era Department of Education (ED) perceived that colleges needed to be more victim-centered in addressing sexual violence and should have more transparent and accessible policies and procedures for addressing complaints. At the instigation of then Vice-President Joe Biden, ED issued a set of guidelines for colleges under Title IX in what is known as the Dear Colleague Letter. That letter resulted in investigation-centered approaches that were trauma-informed, confidential, and relatively informal. Almost immediately, accused students and employees began to sue colleges for violations of their due process rights. By 2017, ED under the Trump administration had taken a different perspective and withdrew the 2011 Dear Colleague Letter while also announcing that new Title IX regulations were needed to better safeguard the due process rights of accused individuals. The regulatory process took two years, with new regulations published in May 2020 that took effect on August 14, 2020.

New Policies and Procedures

The 2020 Title IX regulations required all colleges to revise or rewrite their policies and procedures for addressing sex offenses, including sexual harassment, sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence, and stalking. The college has worked diligently to ensure that its newly revised policies and procedures are now compliant with these regulations. This was not an easy process. The regulations include fifteen pages of new requirements, and more than 2,000 pages of explanation of the provisions in addition to those fifteen pages. They are complex and legalistic. Just trying to explain parts of this new process to you here requires a document that is seven pages long. The bottom line is that Gateway's policies are not all that different than before

- the same types of offenses are still against college policy - but the procedures for resolution of complaints are substantially changed.

To summarize:

- Title IX protects students and employees who are impacted by sexual harassment, sexual
 assault, domestic violence, dating violence, and stalking. When these behaviors occur, and
 a formal complaint is made, the college is obligated to address and remedy them and
 ensure that no one is denied effective access to the educational program of the college.
- Colleges have jurisdiction requirements that they must follow to determine whether a complaint falls within Title IX or is to be addressed within other college policies and procedures.
- Complainants are well-protected by the regulations in terms of supportive measures that are offered by colleges to try to address the impact of sex offenses.
- Complainants and respondents are each entitled to an advisor of their choosing (who can be an attorney) throughout the resolution process, and the college can provide this advisor to each party, if needed.
- The regulations have now created options for informal resolution that were discouraged by the Dear Colleague Letter.
- To protect the due process rights of respondents, colleges are required to use a formal
 grievance process for certain types of allegations. That formal process includes an
 investigation, a live hearing, questioning of the parties through their advisors, a
 determination by an objective decision-maker, and an appeal.

As a result of these changes, the college has worked hard to balance the rights of all parties, and to create a process that is fair, transparent, and compliant. However, it isn't an ideal process and isn't particularly user-friendly, and we acknowledge this. This may not be the policy or process the college would have created if we had the flexibility to define misconduct and the process to respond, but the government has intervened, and the college must comply. To offset the more complex aspects of the process, the college has a pool of advisors to help parties through every step of the process. They can guide and advise all parties on how to best protect your rights to educational access. We've also created guides like this, and the flowchart below, to help make the process more accessible and understandable.

One last key point to understand is that Title IX isn't the only governing law here. The college must also comply with the Wisconsin Fair Employment Act for employees, Civil Rights Act of 1964, and a federal law called the Violence Against Women Act (VAWA), Section 304, which also protects college community members when they experience sex offenses.

Navigating the new Rules Together

When the college receives a complaint, there are four possibilities that you should be aware of because they govern how the college will proceed:

- 1. The complaint falls within Title IX AND is covered by the 2020 Title IX regulations
- 2. The complaint falls within Title IX but is not covered by the 2020 Title IX regulations
- 3. The complaint falls within VAWA Section 3041
- 4. The complaint does not fall within Title IX or VAWA Section 304

Depending on which of these four possibilities the complaint falls within, the college must apply different policies and/or procedures, accordingly. As shorthand, we call the procedures that comply with the 2020 Title IX regulations (36 CFR Part 106.45) the <u>Title IX Grievance Procedure</u> (policy number H-130) and the alternate process to resolve complaints outside of the Title IX Grievance Procedure we call the <u>Equity Resolution Process</u> (policy number H-120). Like the Title IX Grievance Procedure, the Equity Resolution Process is a civil rights-based process that is meant for violations that do not fall under Title IX and all other forms of civil rights violations.

The Title IX Grievance Procedure and Equity Resolution Process cannot both be simultaneously applied by the college. The regulations mandate that if both can apply, the Title IX Grievance Procedure must be applied, not the Equity Resolution Procedure. Further the regulations specify that the Equity Resolution Process cannot be used as an end-run to avoid the Title IX Grievance Procedure if it applies, regardless of what process each party might prefer. For a Gateway to choose the Equity Resolution Process when Title IX Grievance Procedure applies would be considered a form of retaliation against the respondent.

Let's take each in turn to better explain this.

1. The complaint falls within Title IX AND is covered by the 2020 Title IX regulations

The complaint will fall in this category when it alleges sexual harassment, sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence, and/or stalking (as defined by college policy, if proven) AND the conduct:

- Happened in the United States;
- Occurred where the college controls the context of the incident (a college program or property, typically);
- The school has jurisdiction over the respondent as a student or employee; and
- Happened to a complainant who at the time of the complaint was participating in or attempting to participate in the college's educational program.

¹ This could be an overlay with 1 or 2, above, or a stand-alone status.

These jurisdictional requirements are spelled out by the 2020 Title IX regulations and are rigid. If any of these requirements fails to be met, Gateway is required to "technically" dismiss the complaint. More in a bit on what happens if there is a technical dismissal, because that is not the end of the process. If these requirements are met, the resolution process will be the Title IX Grievance Process.

The complaint falls within Title IX but is not covered by the 2020 Title IX regulations

The complaint will fall in this category if it does not involve sexual harassment, sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence, and/or stalking, but the allegations pertain to sex discrimination more broadly, such as:

- disparate treatment, e.g., discrimination against a pregnant student; denial of access to a program; inequitable funding on the basis of sex);
- forms of sexual orientation discrimination;
- forms of gender identity/expression discrimination [based on sex stereotypes].

When a complaint is filed under Title IX, the regulations require these types of allegations to be technically dismissed. The college will then address them under the Equity Resolution Process. If there is no formal complaint made, they can be addressed using the Equity Resolution Process without needing to go through a dismissal first with respect to the Title IX Grievance Procedure.

3. The complaint falls within VAWA Section 304 (this could be an overlay with 1 or 2, above, or a stand-alone status)

The complaint will fall in this category if it is not within the Title IX jurisdiction above (see four bullet points), but still involves sexual violence, dating violence, domestic violence, or stalking. In this case, the college must address the conduct under procedures that comply with VAWA Section 304, and the complaint can be then addressed under the Equity Resolution Process. If there is no formal complaint made, the allegations can be addressed using the Equity Resolution Process without needing to go through a dismissal first with respect to the Title IX Grievance Procedure.

4. The complaint does not fall within Title IX or VAWA Section 304

Finally, where the complaint falls within neither Title IX nor VAWA Section 304, the college is not required by law to act on the complaint. However, the college will act with discretionary jurisdiction, meaning that it still thinks it is important to address the allegations even if law does not require it. The complaint can be then addressed under the Equity Resolution Process. If there is no formal complaint made, the allegation can be addressed using the Equity Resolution Process without needing to go through a technical dismissal first with respect to the Title IX Grievance Procedure.

Hopefully, what you now understand from this section is that the incidents that fall within Title IX Grievance Procedure occur within a narrow range. They must fit the description of sexual harassment, sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence, or stalking (as defined by Gateway's policy on H-110: Equal Opportunity, Civil Rights, and Sexual Harassment, if proven) in the United States, where the college controls the context of the incident and has control over the respondent and the complainant is participating in or attempting to participate in the college's educational program. Outside of that, all sex offenses, sex discrimination, and other civil rights complaints will fall within Equity Resolution Process, including those, for example, that happen between two students, off-campus, on private property.

The last part of jurisdiction to understand is dismissal. As noted above, Gateway is mandated to and <u>must</u> dismiss a formal complaint or any allegations therein if, at any time during an investigation or hearing under the Title IX Grievance Procedure, it is determined that:

- The conduct alleged in the formal complaint would not constitute sexual harassment, sexual
 assault, dating violence, domestic violence or stalking as defined in policy, even if proved;
 and/or
- The conduct did not occur in an educational program or activity controlled by the school (including buildings or property controlled by recognized student organizations), and/or the school does not have control of the respondent; and/or
- The conduct did not occur against a person in the United States; and/or
- At the time of filing a formal complaint, a complainant was not participating in or attempting to participate in the education program or activity of the recipient.²

Then there are three permissive dismissal provisions. Gateway <u>may</u>, based on the judgement of the Title IX Coordinator, dismiss a formal complaint or any allegations therein if, at any time during the investigation or hearing:

- A complainant notifies the Title IX Coordinator in writing that the complainant would like to withdraw the formal complaint or any allegations therein; or
- The respondent is no longer enrolled in or employed by Gateway; or
- Specific circumstances prevent the recipient from gathering evidence sufficient to reach a determination as to the formal complaint or allegations therein.

Upon any dismissal, Gateway will send written notice of the dismissal and the rationale for doing so simultaneously to the parties. This dismissal decision is appealable by any party under Gateway's procedures for appeal found in policy H-110: Equal Opportunity, Civil Rights, and Sexual Harassment. The effect of a dismissal (permissive or mandated) is either that the

5

² Unless this complaint is one initiated by the Title IX Coordinator themselves because of some serious risk to the campus community.

complaint is done, or that the school reinstates it, usually within the Equity Resolution Process. Even if a complaint is done, supportive measures are still made available to the parties. Understanding these mechanisms can be helpful, but we know they're complex, so don't hesitate to call on the Title IX Coordinator for further explanation.

College-provided advisors may be called on to help determine if someone wants a complaint to be reinstated, or even under what process it should be filed in the first place. Where dismissed, advisors will be able to advise the parties on whether they want to appeal (for example, a complainant may be pleased by a Title IX Grievance Procedure dismissal if they would prefer Equity Resolution Process, whereas the respondent may feel the opposite) and what the effect of dismissal/reinstatement may be.

Live Hearings

We'll conclude with a short section about live hearings under the Title IX Grievance Procedure. The live hearing component has received a lot of attention, so we wanted to take a moment to clarify some important details and hope they will help anyone making a decision about whether to file a formal Title IX complaint. Gateway has designed the process to be as humane and non-adversarial as possible, while assuring fairness to all participants.

- There are informal resolution options offered by Gateway. Gateway cannot and will not force or coerce any student or employee into an informal resolution. Although it is true that a formal complaint must first be filed, that does not mean a live hearing must occur. A formal complaint can also lead to an informal resolution process and should an informal resolution fail, a formal grievance process is always still available.
- Live hearings do not have to happen with all parties in the same room. Any or all parties can opt for virtual participation at any time. Even with a virtual hearing, all participants will be able to see and hear each other throughout the hearing.
- Although there is "cross-examination" during the hearing, it may not work the way you
 think. The parties cannot question each other directly, at all. The advisors to the parties
 ask the questions, and before they do, the hearing officer, who is an administrative law
 judge with the Wisconsin Division of Hearings and Appeals, rules on each question first.
 So, there is really only indirect questioning, not "cross-examination" like you might find in
 a courtroom.
- Even though advisors get to ask questions of parties and witnesses, you may find that
 most of the questions are posed by the neutral decision-makers. Once those questions
 are posed, they cannot be asked again by the advisors, so in most cases, the questions
 come to the parties from the decision-makers, not from the other party's advisor.
- A written decision is issued, based on the preponderance of the evidence standard (whether a policy violation is more likely than not), and offers a clear rationale for the decision.

- The decision is appealable by all parties.
- The hearing process is kept confidential by Gateway.

For questions or confidential discussion about any options and college processes, please contact

Josh Vollendorf, MSE, PHR
Director of Compliance/Title IX Coordinator
1001 S. Main Street, Racine Campus, Lake Building, L101
262-564-3062, vollendorfj@gtc.edu